## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

MOSES SOUCHET as Executor of the Estate of Victoria and Juanita Souchet, deceased,

Plaintiff

v.

CIV 12-0645 KBM/LFG

J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., CHASE BANK, N.A., GRAVELLE MORTGAGE COMPANY and TODD GRAVELLE,

Defendants.

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This matter is before the court *sua sponte* to consider Plaintiff's failure to respond to the Court's order entered October 22, 2012, which required that he effect service or provide the Court with a written explanation why service has not been effected. Because Plaintiff has not responded, I find a manifest lack of interest in litigating his claims.

As previously noted, the Court has the inherent power to impose a variety of sanctions on litigants in order to, among other things, regulate its docket and promote judicial efficiency. *Martinez v. Internal Revenue Service*, 744 F.2d 71, 73 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984). One such sanction within the discretion of the Court is to dismiss an action for want of prosecution. *E.g., Nat'l Hockey League v. Metro. Hockey Club, Inc.*, 427 U.S. 639, 642-43 (1976); *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629-302 (1962); *see also Costello v. United States*, 365 U.S. 265, 286-87 (1961) (district court may dismiss *sua sponte* for failure to comply with order of court); *United States ex rel. Jimenez v. Health Net, Inc.*, 400 F.3d 853, 855 ("dismissal is an appropriate disposition against a party who disregards court orders and fails to proceed as required by court rules.").

Wherefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice, and this action is DISMISSED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE